



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,548	09/20/2001	Tomohiro Igakura	P/1866-65	5904
7590 03/07/2006			EXAMINER	
STEVEN I. WEISBURD			CHEN, TE Y	
DICKSTEIN SI	HAPIRO MORIN & OSH	INSKY LLP		
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
41st FLOOR			2161	
NEW YORK,,	NY 10036-2714			
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/07/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/960,548	IGAKURA, TOMOHIRO
morrion cumumy	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Y. Chen	2161
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Safet Metjahic</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Blum, lan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>02 March 2006</u> .		·
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)[☐ applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 2 and 3.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS / DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	\mathcal{M}	
	SAFET ME	TJAKIC
	SUPERVISORY PAT	ENT EXAMMEN EXTER 2100
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Spoke with Mr. Blum regarding the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed 2/21/06. Mr. Blum argued that he believed that the (1) Appeal Brief's Summary of Claimed subject matter (i.e., item 4) was in compliance with the 37 CFR 41.37(c) and that (2) the note (i.e., item 10) regarding the Advisory Action was in error. Examiner Metjahic reviewed the Office file and discovered that the Advisory Action (mailed 5/4/5) that was scanned into the electronic wrapper did not have box 7 of the Advisory Action checked. In fact, the Advisory Action was not signed. However, the Office Action that was mailed to, and received by Applicant, has box 7 checked, indicating that the After Final Amendment filed would not be entered upon a filing of notice of appeal. The Advisory Action that Applicant received was signed and was in fact the intended copy for the record. See attachment.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Spoke with Mr. Blum regarding the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed 2/21/06. Mr. Blum argued that he believed that the (1) Appeal Brief's Summary of Claimed subject matter (i.e., item 4) was in compliance with the 37 CFR 41.37(c) and that (2) the note (i.e., item 10) regarding the Advisory Action was in error. Examiner Metjahic reviewed the Office file and discovered that the Advisory Action (mailed 5/4/5) that was scanned into the electronic wrapper did not have box 7 of the Advisory Action checked. In fact, the Advisory Action was not signed. However, the Office Action that was mailed to, and received by Applicant, has box 7 checked, indicating that the After Final Amendment filed would not be entered upon a filing of notice of appeal. The Advisory Action that Applicant received was signed and was in fact the intended copy for the record. See attachment.